



ADDENDUM NUMBER: TWO (2)

TITLE: 1412156246 (RFP) JEA St. Johns River Power Park (SJRPP) Unit 3 Combined Cycle – Generator Step-Up Transformers

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: ~~June 09, 2026~~ June 16, 2026

TIME OF RECEIPT: 12:00 PM EST

**THIS ADDENDUM IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING THE FOLLOWING CHANGES OR CLARIFICATIONS:**

1. **Change:** The proposal due date has been extended to **June 16, 2026**.
2. **Revision:** Section 1.20 of the Solicitation has been revised as seen below.

**1.20) Risk Profile, Past Performance, and Company Experience**

**Maximum score: 20 Points**

JEA will evaluate Respondent’s specific experience in undertaking similar professional engagements. Companies with similar projects with utility industry experience are preferred for this criterion. Qualities and indicators that will receive consideration generally include the number and types of the projects completed by the Respondent that are similar in nature to the Scope of Services being required in this Solicitation; the degree of similarity of the projects completed and the ability of the Respondent to bring about a successful completion of such similar projects; the number and quality of references furnished in conjunction with similar projects; and any outstanding accomplishments of the Respondent that relate to the specific Scope of Goods being sought.

Respondents shall provide reference projects and reference contact information that meet the following requirements:

- ~~successful completion for three (3) projects that each include the design, fabrication, testing, documentation, delivery, and installation of a 400—800 MVA GSU Transformer, preferably within the last five (5) years.~~
- ~~One (1) of the projects must include a 750MVA transformer or the highest MVA transformer built in the manufacturer facility listed in the bid documents.~~
- ~~USA/North American references are preferred~~
- ~~Utility references are preferred~~
- ~~if JEA had been previously supplied by supplier from the factory being proposed, it must be used as a reference~~
- Successful completion of three (3) reference projects that each include the design, fabrication, testing, documentation, delivery, and installation of a GSU transformer rated:
  - 700+ MVA top rating for CTG responses
  - 400+ MVA top rating for STG responsesCTG reference projects can be used to satisfy the STG reference requirement, separate project references are not required.  
Projects completed within the last five (5) years are preferred.
- At least one (1) reference project shall include:
  - A 750+ MVA top rating transformer or the highest MVA transformer built in the manufacturer facility listed in the bid documents for CTG responses
  - A 480+ MVA top rating transformer or the highest MVA transformer built in the manufacturer facility listed in the bid documents for STG responses
- USA/North American references are preferred

- Utility references are preferred
- If JEA has previously been supplied by the proposed factory's supplier, please include this as an additional reference alongside the other three provided.

The Response shall address the following issues and will be scored based on:

- Manufacturer's Experience and Capabilities
  - Manufacturer provided reference
  - Experience of the supplier building similar size models
    - Similar size for combustion
    - Similar size for steam
- Pricing and Transit Models
  - Transit Model
  - Tariff Model (redline to 2.4.10)
  - Milestone Payments (redline to 2.4.1)
  - Price Adjustment Model (evaluating criteria definition)
    - Currency Adjustment
    - Index Adjustments
    - All Price Adjustment Timeline

Example of Outstanding: Supplier commits to common 3<sup>rd</sup> party verifiable price indexing adjustments and response includes weight chart and corresponding website links for indices that is tried up 90 days prior to shipping. Factory is domestic and utilizes Rail to the location and pricing is quoted DDP. Tariffs are included in the pricing, they have been identified and noted for how these costs are created, and Company agrees to JEA's tariff language regarding adjustments. References are exemplary. Pricing is based on the USD non-adjusting. Milestone payments are aligned well with the percentage of work completed and no less than 50% is upon final acceptance.

Example of Unsatisfactory: No information provided and references are unable to be reached.

JEA may contact the references provided by Respondent to determine the reference's satisfaction with the Respondent's performance with similar projects.

3. **Question:** We understand that the "Minimum Qualification Form" corresponds to the file "1412156246 Appendix B - Response Forms". Please confirm or provide this file.

**Answer:** Confirmed, Minimum Qualification Form can be found in Appendix B.

4. **Question:** Please provide the percentage of the milestones established in item 2.4.1 of "1412156246 Solicitation".

**Answer:** Respondent to propose payment milestone percentages. Per Item 1.20, Respondent is to redline item 2.4.1 and include these proposed milestone percentages with their proposal.

5. **Question:** Please clarify the wording of the item 2.4.7 of "1412156246 Solicitation", due to the stated in item 2.4.1 of the same document.

**Answer:** JEA offers two (2) alternate payment terms with discount pricing as listed in item 2.4.7.

6. **Question:** Regarding the Liquidated Damages, please clarify whether the "LD Amount" corresponds to the penalty per day or per total amount of delay, i.e.: 1 – 14 days: \$ 15,000 means that the LDs for the fourteen (14) days of delay correspond to \$ 15,000 (\$ 1,071.43 per day) or that each day of delay in this interval correspond to this value (\$ 15,000), therefore the total amount for LDs in this period will be \$ 210,000.

**Answer:** Liquidated damages amount is the penalty per day (i.e. – fourteen (14) day delay at \$15,000/day, total liquidated damages penalty in the amount of \$210,000)

7. **Question:** The “lead-time” score stated in item 1.19 of “1412156246 Solicitation” is different from that indicated in the document “1412156246 Evaluation Matrix”. Please provide the correct rates for “After February 28, 2030, but before June 30, 2030” and “After June 30, 2030, but before November 30, 2030”.

**Answer:** Eval matrix will be revised to match solicitation. Please see attached revised 1412156246 Evaluation Matrix – Addendum 2.

8. **Question:** Regarding the “1412156246 Addendum 1 - Appendix A - Schedule of Submittals”, please inform when the winner of the bidding will be announced, as well as confirm whether the supplier will be able to begin engineering work and purchasing materials, for example, prior to “EPC LNTP Date”.

**Answer:** Supplier can begin both engineering and material purchase early subject to JEA’s Design Review process. However, specific submittals requiring direct EPC review or approval may need to be deferred until the EPC LNTP has occurred which is anticipated in 2027Q1. These activities should be limited to installation, assembly and interface connections since the GSUs will be JEA furnished equipment.

9. **Question:** According to “1412156246 Addendum 1 - Appendix A - Schedule of Submittals”, the “Supplier can propose an alternate duration schedule for JEA approval”. Please review and approve the attached “Schedule of Submittals” suggestion.

**Answer:** Please submit proposed alternate duration schedule in advance for potential revision.

10. **Question:** We understand that the “Quantities” field in “1412156246 Appendix B - Response Forms” should be changed to “Quantities indicated are exacting”.

**Answer:** Should be exact quantities (2xCTG,1xSTG) at this time. Please see attached revised 1412156246 Appendix B - Response Forms – Addendum 2.

11. **Question:** Does JEA plan to award all 3 units to one supplier, or potentially different supplier for CTG and STG units?

**Answer:** The CTG units are one scope and one award, the STG is one scope and one award; it may be that a single vendor wins all, but they are independent of each other for both evaluation and award purposes.

12. **Question:** Please clarify how the “Payment Discounts” field in “1412156246 Appendix B - Response Forms” works.

**Answer:** Supplier may elect to offer early payment discount of 1% if payment is within 10 days, 2% if payment is within 20 days or their own offer. If payment is not made within the early payment durations, full payment is still due within 30 days. Supplier may elect to decline by selecting “none offered” to stay with standard payment terms (net 30).

13. **Question:** Section 15.21 of the Technical Specification limits shipping height to 14’. That height is generally used for transformers that will be transported or moved by truck. These transformers will be delivered FOB rail siding at the Power Park. Given their large MVA of these units, we are requesting you remove the shipping height restriction.

**Answer:** JEA will remove this requirement. The vendor is responsible for any over dimensional clearance requests associated with transport since it’s DDP Destination shipping. Destination is the JEA rail siding adjacent to the site, but it is not JEA’s requirement that only rail be used should a supplier choose to use alternative modes.